

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fainst and Trademark Other address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Soc. 1430

			A a a affer 25.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/415,294 26123 7590	02/25/2004 7590 09/25/2004 ADNER GERVAIS LLP HANGE PLAZA TREET SUITE NOO	James F Stewart	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
			PAT 537W-2	\$585
BORDEN LA			6XAMINER	
MOKED EXC			CLARDY, 5	
100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON KIP 119		RECEIVED -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1616	
		SEP 2 8 2004	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	
		Borden Lagner Gervais LLP / S.r 1		

Please find below and/or anached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PAI 537W-2 OA (Je: 02/04) SUM/DIC PAI 537-0 -UM/MBB PAI 537AW-2 105 (Dec 22/04) SUM/DIC PAI 527-1-4 Secretives 5 (Dec 21/04) ALC/Jui

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/415,294	STEWART ET AL.				
- woo waan aanmay	Examiner	Art Unit				
- The Mail INC DATE of this committee	S. Mark Clardy	1616				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the previsions of 37 CFR 1, 13: siter SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than therry (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply its specified above, the maunium statutory period will - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, it Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making it earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(p).	B(a) In no evers, however, may a reply pe tin within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days is apply and will expire Stx (B) MONTHS from	ENTERED SEP 2 8 20 5 will be considered briefly The making date of this communication				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ma	rch 2004					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	60 except for formal matters pro-	secution as to the marks of				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 30-46 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 30,44 and 46 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 31-43 and 45 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ISA ar hill chiamed to burn a Fu	· 				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	minute) be belong above as the Ex	aminer.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	45 required if the drawnage is about	77 CFR 1.85(8).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner. Note the attached Office A	Cion of form PTO 152				
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	The man annual of the M	Phon of 10111 F 10-132.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri	onty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a	d) or (f).				
에스 제 이는 Some * c)는 None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the interpretated Prices of	documents have been received	in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and a sound office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
tachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Minimation Disclosure Statemenus) (PTO-1448 or PTO/SP/NR)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/28/03</u>	6) Other	is catherenous (C.1 C-13%)				

Application/Control Number: 10/415,294

Art Unit: 1616

Page 2

Claims 30-46 are pending in this application which has been filed under 35 USC 371 as the national stage of international application PCT/CA01/01508, filed October 26, 2001. The priority data has not been entered as the first line of the specification.

Applicants' claims are drawn to compositions comprising 2N-octanol and oley-cetyl alcohol¹, in combination with at least one of:

Fatty alcohol alkoxylate Polyoxyethylene (2) oleylether Aromatic hydrocarbon distillate Methylated seed oil Polyoxyethylene (8) nonylphenolethin Sodium lauryl sulfate N-nutrient (diammonium phosphate or sulfate, ammonia, ammonium nitrate) Tetrasodium EDTA Colloidal silica A terpene Polyethoxylated amine Polyoxyalkylated alcohol C18 free fatty acid blend N-butanol Methanol water

Applicants are requested to clarify the meaning of the term "phenolethin".

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "Use" claims are non-statutory in US practice.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Taken to be a mixture of oleyl alcohol (octadecen-1-ol) and cetyl alcohol (hexadecanol).

Art Unit: 1616

Page 3

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

Claim 30 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of and Lover et al (US 4,368,207) and Tomlinson et al (US 5,783,202).

Lover et al teach that alcohols are effective as pediculicidal and/or ovicidal agents. In Tables I and II (columns 3 and 4), n-butanol and other butanol isomers exhibit pediculicidal activity, as do higher alcohols such as n-octanol, hexadecyl alcohol, and oleyl alcohol. The mite controlling compositions comprise at least one alcohol selected from the group consisting of octanol, decanol, dodecanol, and hexadecyl alcohol (claim 4).

Tomlinson et al teaches pyrethrin pediculicidal compositions comprising a quick breaking alcoholic foaming agent comprising an aliphatic alcohol, a fatty alcohol, water, and a surface active agent (claim 12) wherein the aliphatic alcohol component is selected from methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, butanol, or propylene glycol; the fatty alcohol component is selected from cetyl, stearyl, lauryl, myristyl, or palmityl alcohols; and the surfactant is selected from sorbitan EO esters, oleatews, nonyl phenol ethoxylates, fatty alcohol ethoxylates, and quaternary ammonium phosphate salts (claim 21). While no single example discloses the combination of octanol, ceryl/oleyl alcohol(s), and at least one of the claimed components above such as methanol, butanol, or alkoxylated alcohols or ethers, the claims are sufficiently limited in scope to enable the skilled artisan to select methanol or butanol from the list of five aliphatic alcohol components, and cetyl alcohol from the list of five fatty alcohol components in order to make the quick breaking alcoholic foaming agent of claim 12.

Art Unit: 1616

Page 4

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine these references because they disclose pediculicidal agents which may be used in combination.

Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of pediclulicidal compositions to combine components such as octanol, oleyl (octadecenyl) alcohol, and cetyl (hexadecyl) alcohol, in a single aqueous composition because they have been disclosed as being useful in combination for pediculicidal compositions, further in combination with water and lower alcohols such as methanol and butanol. While this rejection is based on non-analogous (i.e., non-agrochemical) art, the rejected claims are drawn to a composition, the components of which have been disclosed as being useful in combination for another purpose. Therefore, their combination is obvious, albeit for a different reason that the utility disclosed herein.

No single reference, or combination of references, has been found which discloses the specific combinations in claims 31-43 and 45.

Claims 31-43 and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is 571-272-0611. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/415,294

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Mark Clardy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1616

September 20, 2004